# Activities Committee <br> Meeting <br> Wednesday $7^{\text {th }}$ February 2024 1:00pm-2:00pm, Online Meeting 

Students

Harry Brooks, Activities \& Employability Officer (AEO) (Chair)
Cat Hardiman, Sports Officer (SO)
Alice Liu (TL), International Officer (IO)
Matthew Widdop
Syed Syed Khader Sadath
Wan Chan
Staff members in attendance:
Abigail, Senior Student Groups Coordinator (AG)
Samantha Macbeth, Student Activities Manager (SM)
Quoracy for this meeting is: 6 (Met)

Trigger Warnings:

| Item No. | Item Title | Brief Summary of Agenda Item | Actions |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Start |  |  |  |
| 1 |  <br> Introductions | HB welcomes everyone. |  |
| 2 | Updates Since <br> Last Meeting | AG, SM <br> Hresent <br> AG gives overview on sub-group minutes from <br> recent meetings. |  |

## Y̌ Guildof <br> Students

| 3 | Constitutional Changes | Present <br> HB, CH, TL, MW, SS, WC <br> AG, SM <br> Christian Union <br> *AG opens the constitution* <br> AG: <br> - "This was originally rejected, and they have asked for clarification". <br> HB: <br> - "Reject the current wording and suggest an alternative?" <br> TL agrees. <br> SM: <br> "If they are running for committee, it is expected they support the group objectives". <br> - "But bylaws state that you cannot restrict members or committee members based on faith". <br> - "You could reword but this could still lead to restriction so there is a risk". <br> MW agrees and believes it shouldn't be approved. <br> *AG opens email from Christian Union* TL: <br> - "Do we need a consultation on freedom of speech based on the email?" <br> MW and HB agree that this is not necessary. HB suggests an alternative wording, but this is rejected. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Y̌ Guildof <br> Students

|  |  | SM suggests an option to look at the freedom of speech issue. <br> MW disagrees as anyone should be allowed on committee. <br> Voting: <br> HB voted against rejecting the line completely and voted in favour of adjusting the wording. <br> CH, TL, MW, SS, WC agree to reject 2.1.6. <br> RESULT: <br> - Constitutional Change Rejected. <br> Malaysian <br> AG: <br> - "An MNight Coordinator runs the MNight event and oversees communications between the Guild and Society regarding the event". <br> HB: <br> - "Change typo on 15.1". <br> Voting: <br> HB, CH, TL, MW, SS, WC agree to approve constitutional change. <br> RESULT: <br> - Constitutional Change Approved. | ACTION: <br> Amend 15.1 typo. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Y̌ Guildof <br> Students

|  |  | Japan <br> TL questions wording. <br> AG clarifies wording. <br> SM: <br> - "It is worded like two people doing one role". <br> Voting: <br> HB, CH, TL, MW, SS, WC agree to approve constitutional change. <br> RESULT: <br> - Constitutional Change Approved. <br> Education <br> Voting: <br> HB, CH, TL, MW, SS, WC agree to approve constitutional change. <br> RESULT: <br> - Constitutional Change Approved. <br> Shooting <br> AG explains request. <br> Voting: <br> HB, CH, TL, MW, SS, WC agree to approve constitutional change. |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## 15 Guildof <br> Students

|  |  | RESULT: <br> - Constitutional Change Approved. <br> Poker <br> HB: <br> - "The running of cash games does pose a risk, but I don't believe we have any kind of ban against gambling". <br> - "Coordinators should ensure thorough riskassessment and potential cash limit". <br> CH : <br> - "This could become a gateway so there does need to be support for a student just in case it leads to gambling". <br> - "We should have a cap". <br> SS agrees with CH . <br> SM: <br> - "The value of money changes so the impact the cap has could change". <br> - "The group could have an input on what the cap should be". <br> MW clarifies laws. <br> Voting: <br> HB, CH, TL, SS, WC agree to approve <br> constitutional change. <br> MW does not vote due to conflict of interest. <br> RESULT: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## 15 Guildof Students

|  |  | $-\quad$ Constitutional Change Approved <br> Kickboxing <br> Voting: <br> HB, CH, TL, MW, SS, WC agree to approve <br> constitutional change. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | RESULT: <br> $-\quad$ Constitutional Change Approved. |  |

## Ys Guildof <br> Students

| 4 | Emergency Grant Requests | Present <br> HB, CH, TL, MW, SS, WC <br> AG, SM <br> BEAT <br> HB states that there are charity law issues and asks whether they have been given clarification. <br> AG: <br> - "We have not heard back currently". <br> SM: <br> - "Constitution specifically states fundraising for BEAT and no other charities, the ball money is not going to just BEAT as it is a collaboration". <br> - "This has brought around a larger question about what is acceptable for fundraising groups". <br> - "HB and CH could have a meeting with Finance to understand the restrictions to advise the committee for future allocations". <br> HB: <br> - "Defer case until we understand further the information about charity law." <br> RESULT: <br> - Grant Request deferred until next meeting. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |

## 15 Guildof <br> Students

## Harry Potter Society

HB:

- "Some money is allocated, but not all due to a potential penalty because they missed the deadline".
AG:
- "They have had VAT refunded so they have had some money back on coaches".
HB:
- "They haven't given information as to why they missed the grant".
CH:
- "Some should be given but not the full amount due to deadline missed".
TL agrees:
- "£100?"

SS:

- "£200?"

WC:

- "Agrees and that we should give half of £445".
CH :
- " $£ 200$ is ok but that’s what we were initially going to give, which doesn't include give penalty with deadline missed" HB suggests $£ 100$ as a good medium point.

Voting:
HB, CH, TL, MW, SS, WC agree to give emergency grant.

## Ys Guildof <br> Students

|  | RESULT: <br> - £100 awarded. <br> - Reason: Full $£ 200$ not given due to missed deadline on spending the grant with no reason given. <br> Hindu Society <br> AG: <br> - "They are asking for money for some items that they did request for in grant funding". <br> AG clarifies what they want the money for. <br> AG: <br> - "You can’t give emergency grant for something that it rejected". <br> SM: <br> - "If only partial funding is given then, consistency should be considered". <br> Voting: <br> HB, CH, TL, MW, SS, WC agree to reject grant. <br> RESULT: <br> - Emergency Grant rejected. <br> - Reason: It doesn't meet criteria for emergency grant funding as the events are not unforeseen circumstances. | ACTION: <br> - Provide criteria for emergency grant to the society to help with understanding of the criteria. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| End | End of Meeting <br> Sub-Group Meeting will commence. |  |

## Ys Guildof Students

Date \& Time of next meeting:
21/02/2024 at 1:00pm to 2:30pm

